Product name:Invesco Pan European High Income Fund

# Sustainable investment means an investment in an economic activity that contributes to an environmental or social objective, provided that the investment does not significantly harm any environmental or social objective and that the investee companies follow good governance

The EU Taxonomy is a classification system laid down in Regulation (EU) 2020/852, establishing a list of environmentally sustainable economic activities. That Regulation does not lay down a list of socially sustainable economic activities. Sustainable investments with an environmental objective might be aligned with the Taxonomy or not.

# Environmental and/or social characteristics

Did this financial product have a sustainable investment objective?		
• • Yes	● ○ × No	
It made sustainable investments with an environmental objective:%  in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy  in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy	It promoted Environmental/Social (E/S) characteristics and while it did not have as its objective a sustainable investment, it had a proportion of% of sustainable investments  with an environmental objective in economic activities that qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy  with an environmental objective in economic activities that do not qualify as environmentally sustainable under the EU Taxonomy  with a social objective	
It made sustainable investments with a social objective:%	X It promoted E/S characteristics, but did not make any sustainable investments	



To what extent were the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by this financial product met?

The Invesco Pan European High Income Fund (the "Fund") aimed to promote environmental characteristics related to natural resource utilization and pollution (by excluding companies involved in coal extraction and production, and unconventional oil and gas such as Arctic oil and gas exploration/extraction, oil sands extraction and Shale Energy extraction). The Fund also promoted social characteristics related to human rights (by excluding companies in violation of any UN Global Compact's principles, based on third-party data and the Investment Manager's proprietary analysis and research) and by excluding issuers involved in tobacco production and tobacco related products and services, recreational cannabis, controversial weapons and manufacture of nuclear warheads or whole nuclear or whole nuclear missiles outside of the Non-Proliferation Treaty.

The environmental and social characteristics of the Fund were achieved by applying the exclusions criteria described above.

### How did the sustainability indicators perform?

The Fund used a variety of indicators to measure the attainment of the environmental and social characteristics. This included:

Sustainability Indicator	Indicator Performance
UN Global Compact, excluded if non-compliant	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
International sanctions, sanctioned investments are	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's
prohibited	exclusion criteria.
Controversial weapons, excluded if 0%, including companies involved in the manufacture of nuclear warheads or whole nuclear missiles outside of the NPT.	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Coal, excluded if Thermal Coal extraction >=5% of revenue, Thermal Coal Power generation >=10% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Unconventional oil & gas, excluded if >=5% of revenue on each of the following: - Artic oil & gas exploration; - Oil sands extraction; - Shale energy extraction;	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Tobacco excluded if Tobacco Products production >=5% of revenue, Tobacco-related products and services >=5% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's exclusion criteria.
Recreational canabis, excluded if >=5% of revenue	During the reference period, there were no active breaches of the Fund's

Sustainability indicators measure how the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product are attained. ...and compared to previous periods?

Not applicable.

What were the objectives of the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made and how did the sustainable investment contribute to such objectives?

Not applicable.

How did the sustainable investments that the financial product partially made not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective?

Not applicable.

How were the indicators for adverse impacts on sustainability factors taken into account?

Not applicable.

Were sustainable investments aligned with the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Riahts? Details:

Not applicable.

The EU Taxonomy sets out a "do not significant harm" principle by which Taxonomy-aligned investments should not significantly harm EU Taxonomy objectives and is accompanied by specific Union criteria.

The "do no significant harm" principle applies only to those investments underlying the financial product that take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities. The investments underlying the remaining portion of this financial product do not take into account the Union criteria for environmentally sustainable economic activities.

Any other sustainable investments must also not significantly harm any environmental or social objectives.



Principal adverse

employee matters, respect for human rights, anti-corruption and anti-

bribery matters

impacts are the most significant negative impacts of investment

decisions on sustainability factors relating to environmental, social and

How did this financial product consider principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors?

The Fund considered principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors (PAIs) by carrying out a qualitative and quantitative review of key metrics (primarily the 14 indicators as defined in Table 1 of the Annex I of the regulatory technical standards for Regulation 2019/2088). The quantitative review included a review of Invesco's Article 8 and 9 product holdings and the relevant PAI data. Through this initial review an absolute threshold was set that flagged issuers that failed to meet minimum standards, as well as companies that flagged on a binary output (such as controversial weapons or UN Global Compact violations). Once issuers were flagged for the quantitative review, an assessment was completed to understand if there is publicly available information from the issuer that we are aware of that can be shown to be addressing the poor performance on the flagged PAI. The ESG research team assigned the issuer a qualitative score as to how well they were addressing the poor performance. Those issuers that received the lowest qualitative scores were then identified as engagement targets and were primarily engaged through methods such as letters, meetings, proxy voting. If no improvement was established through such corporate engagement, then the Fund could consider divesting and/or excluding investments.

The below table shows the PAI data for the Fund:

Adverse sustainability					
indicator	PAI	Data	Metric		
	1.GHG Emissions	370.251.84	Scope 1 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)		
		64.115.12	Scope 2 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)		
		2,771,726.13	Scope 3 fund financed emissions (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)		
		3.206.093.10	Total Financed emissions (Scope 1+Scope 2+Scope 3) (Tonnes of CO2 equivalent)		
	2. Carbon footprint	712.68	Fund level Carbon footprint (Scope 1 + Scope 2 + Scope 3) (Per Million EUR Invested)		
	3. GHG Intensity of investee companies	1093.48			
	Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel	1093.48	Fund level Total Emission Intensity-Scope 1+2+3 (Per Million EUR Revenue)		
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	0	% of the fund exposed to any fossil fuels revenue		
	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption	63.19	Adjusted Weighted Average of all issuers in the fund's share of non-renewable energy consumption		
	5. Share of non-renewable energy production		and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy source:		
	571	34.2	compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage of total energy sources (%)		
Greenhouse gas emissions	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact				
	climate sector				
	Agriculture, Forestry & Fishing	5.16			
	Construction	0			
	Electricity, Gas, Steam & Air Conditioning Supply	2.97			
	Manufacturing	0.5	Adjusted weighted average energy consumption of issuers in the fund in GWh per million EUR of		
	Mining & Quarrying	2.77	revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector		
	Real Estate Activities	0.8			
	Transportation & Storage	2.62			
	Water Supply, Sewerage, Waste Management &				
	Remediation Activities	0			
	Wholesale & Retail Trade & Repair of Motor Vehicles	0.08			
	Motorcycles     Activites negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive	0.08	Share of investments in the fund of investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to		
Biodiversity	areas	6.36	biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those		
one and a second	8. Emissions to water	0.20	Adjusted weighted average per issuer in the fund's emissions to water generated by investee		
Water		24.45	companies per million EUR invested (Tonnes)		
	9. Hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio		Tonnes of hazardous waste and radioactive waste generated by investee companies per million EU		
Waste		1.44	invested, expressed as a weighted average		
	10. Violations fo UN Global Compact principles and		Share of investments in investee companies that have been involved in violations of the UNGC		
	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and		principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises		
	Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational	0.56			
	Enterprises	0.56			
	11. Lack of proceses and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact		Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance/ complaints handling		
Social and employee	principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational		mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational		
	Enterprises	5.81	Enterprises		
matters	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	22.70	Weighted Average of all issuers' in the portfolio unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies		
	13. Board gender diversity	22.70	Waited Average of all issuers in the portfolio ratio of female to male board members in investee		
	and a serious diversity	37.30	companies, expressed as a percentage of all board members		
	14. Exposure to controversial weap-ons (anti-		Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial		
	personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical		weapons		
	weapons and biological weapons)	0			
		0	1		

### Notes:

Although Scope 3 emissions are included in the above table showing the PAI scores for the Fund, they were not included in the quantitative review process to consider PAIs during the reporting period.

The data presented in the above table is calculated using information provided by a third-party data vendor. The accuracy, completeness, and relevance of the calculated data are contingent upon the accuracy and completeness of the data provided by this third-party vendor. The numbers reported represent our best effort to provide the most accurate calculations in light of the data available. However, there are no warranties or representations, express or implied, regarding the completeness, accuracy, or suitability of this data for any particular purpose.



What were the top investments of this financial product?

The list includes the investments constituting the greatest proportion of investments of the financial product during the reference period which is:28 February 2023

Large Investments	Sector	% Assets	Country
Invesco Liquidity Funds plc - Invesco Euro Liquidity Portfolio	Cash	2.27%	Ireland
UniCredit SpA FRN 5.375% EUR Perpetual**	Financials	1.43%	Italy
Banco Santander SA FRN 4.75% EUR Perpetual	Financials	1.02%	Spain
Roche Holding AG	Health Care	0.80%	Switzerland
TotalEnergies SE	Energy	0.79%	France
Deutsche Telekom AG	Communication Services	0.69%	Germany
United States Treasury Note/Bond 2.5% USD 15/02/2046	Sovereign	0.64%	United States
Societe Generale SA FRN 7.375% USD Perpetual	Financials	0.63%	France
Enel Finance International NV 144A 3.5% USD 06/04/2028	Utilities	0.63%	Netherlands
Kreditanstalt fuer Wiederaufbau 0.375% EUR 15/03/2023	Financials	0.62%	Germany
UniCredit SpA FRN 8% USD Perpetual	Financials	0.59%	Italy
Sanofi	Health Care	0.57%	France
Siemens AG	Industrials	0.57%	Germany
SAP SE	Information Technology	0.56%	Germany
Veolia Environnement SA	Utilities	0.55%	France



## What was the proportion of sustainability-related investments?

The exclusions were screened to the full investment universe, representing at least 90% of the portfolio (#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics) by virtue of the binding elements of the Fund's investment strategy. Ancillary liquid assets and money market instruments which were held for cash management/liquidity purposes may not have been assessed for compliance with the above exclusion framework (#2 Other).

### What was the asset allocation?

100.00% of the Fund's NAV was selected according to the binding elements of the investment strategy, on the basis that they aligned with the environmental and social characteristics of the Fund.

0.00% of the Fund's NAV was invested in financial derivative instruments for hedging and/or efficient portfolio management purposes; and investment in funds that are not subject to the same restrictions.

All the above data is provided as of 28 February 2023.

# Asset allocation describes

the share of investments

in specific assets.



#1 Aligned with E/S characteristics includes the investments of the financial product used to attain the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the financial product.

#2 Other includes the remaining investments of the financial product which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The category #1 Aligned with E/S characteristics covers:

- The sub-category #1A Sustainable covers sustainable investments with environmental or social objectives
- The sub-category #1B Other E/S characteristics covers investments aligned with the environmental or social characteristics that do not qualify as sustainable investments.

In which economic sectors were the investments made?

The below table shows the GICS sector breakdown as at 28 February 2023

Sector (GICS)	Weight %
Financials	34.40
Consumer Discretionary	11.39
Communication Services	9.33
Industrials	7.87
Consumer Staples	7.55
Materials	5.97
Utilities	5.06
Health Care	4.50
Energy	4.07
Information Technology	3.81
Real Estate	1.83
Sovereign	1.67
Cash	2.83
Others/Derivatives	-0.28
Total	100.00

GICS Level 4 breakdown for Energy Sector

Sub-Industry Code	Sub-Industry Name	Weight
10101010	Oil & Gas Drilling	0.00
10101020	Oil & Gas Equipment & Services	0.38
10102010	Integrated Oil & Gas	2.55
10102020	Oil & Gas Exploration & Production	0.19
10102030	Oil & Gas Refining & Marketing	0.94
10102040	Oil & Gas Storage & Transportation	0.01
10102050	Coal & Consumable Fuels	0.00
	Total	4.07

To comply with the EU
Taxonomy, the criteria for
fossil gas include
limitations on emissions
and switching to fully
renewable power or lowcarbon fuels by the end of
2035. For nuclear
energy, the criteria
include comprehensive
safety and waste
management rules.

Enabling activities directly enable other activities to make a substantial contribution to an environmental objective.

Transitional activities are activities for which lowcarbon alternatives are not yet available and among others have greenhouse gas emission



To what extent were the sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Whilst the Fund did not commit to a minimum of sustainable investments with an environmental objective aligned with EU Taxonomy, **1.21**% (Turnover) of the Fund's portfolio was aligned with the EU Taxonomy.

Did the financial product invest in foss	l aas and/or nuclear	eneray related activities	complying with the EU	Taxonomv <sup>1</sup> ?

Yes	
☐ In fossil gas	In nuclear energ
X No	


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Fossil gas and/or nuclear related activities will only comply with the EU Taxonomy where they contribute to limiting climate change ("climate change mitigation") and do no significant harm to any EU Taxonomy objective – see explanatory note in the left hand margin. The full criteria for fossil gas and nuclear energy economic activities that comply with the EU Taxonomy are laid down in Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1214.


levels corresponding to the best performance.

Taxonomy-aligned activities are expressed as a share of:

- turnover reflecting the share of revenue from green activities of investee companies.
- capital expenditure
   (CapEx) shows the
   green investments made
   by investee companies,
   relevant for a transition
   to a green economy.
- operational
  expenditure (OpEx)
  reflects the green
  operational activities of
  investee companies.

The graphs below show in green the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy. As there is no appropriate methodology to determine the taxonomy-alignment of sovereign bonds\*, the first graph shows the Taxonomy alignment in relation to all the investments of the financial product including sovereign bonds, while the second graph shows the Taxonomy alignment only in relation to the investments of the financial product other than sovereign bonds.





\*For the purpose of these graphs, 'sovereign bonds' consist of all sovereign exposures

What was the share of investments made in transitional and enabling activities?

The below table shows the share of investments in transitional and enabling activities as at 28th February 2023.

	Aligned
Enabling	0.65%
Transition	0.00%

'Aligned' means % of revenues of the investments of the Fund that are aligned to the EU Taxonomy.

How did the percentage of investments that were aligned with the EU Taxonomy compare with previous reference periods?

Not applicable.





What was the share of sustainable investments with an environmental objective not aligned with the EU Taxonomy?

Not applicable.



What was the share of socially sustainable investments?

Not applicable.



What investments were included under "other", what was their purpose and were there any minimum environmental or social safeguards?

0.00% of the Fund's asset allocation was included under "Other". As described above, the Fund could hold ancillary liquid assets or money market instruments for cash management/liquidity purposes The Fund held ancillary liquid assets or money market instruments for cash management/liquidity purposes which were not assessed for compliance with the above exclusion framework. Due to the neutral nature of the assets, no minimum safeguards were in place.

Index derivatives were not assessed on a look-through basis, unless such an index had a significant allocation to prohibited activities.



What actions have been taken to meet the environmental and/or social characteristics during the reference period?

The Fund achieved the environmental and social characteristics by complying with the exclusion framework, which was consistent with attaining the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Fund.

Please refer to the section "How did the sustainability indicators perform" above for further information.

In addition, as part of the Fund's consideration of principal adverse impacts on sustainability factors, the ESG team monitored the investments against PAI indicators. Invesco conducted research into certain issuers in the Fund's portfolio that were deemed to flag against PAI indicators. Please see below examples of researched issuers during the reference period:

Holding	Principal Adverse Impact	Action Taken Y
An EMEA Energy Issuer	PAI 6: Energy consumption intensity	Research conducted. The issuer initially flagged on PA16 (Energy Consumption Intensity) and PA17 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas). Through additional research, Invesco determined that the entity acknowledged the issue as a serious matter for a worthy response but has not yet committed to resolving the issue.  The issuer has strong management of both routine and accidental release of emissions, effluents and waste. The majority of its environmental management systems have been certified by ISO 14001. The issuer aims to minimize waste production by focusing on the circular economy as a tool to use resources efficiently. Its management of effluents generated during operations is generally adequate, although limited disclosure is available on the company's objectives or targets on this issue. Concerning spills, the volume of hydrocarbons spilled by the company was 6 metric tons in FY2021, which is a decrease of 93% compared to the average of the previous four years. However, an oil spill of over 10,000 barrels occurred at one of its refineries in early 2022 in Peru, impacting the company's performance and reputation in managing envinental issues. The issuer has above average preparedness measures to address emissions, effluents and waste issues and has been implicated in major controversies related to the issue.
An EMEA Energy Issuer	PAI 1,2,3 (GHG Emissions); PAI 5 (Share of non-renewable energy); PAI 7 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas)	Research and engagement conducted. The issuer initially flagged on several PAIs including PAI 1 (scope 1 GHz Ginssions), PAI 5 (share of non-renewable energy), and PAI 7 (activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas). Through additional research, invesco has determined that the issuer has committed to address the PAI 1 and 5 issues and is developing a strategy. On PAI 7, Invesco has found that the issuer has acknowledged the issue as a serious matter for a worthy response but has not yet committed to resolving the issue.
		On PAI 1, the issuer has set emissions reduction targets, specifically a 50% reduction in Scope 1 and 2 emissions targets by 2030. On PAI 5, the issuer has made some acquisitions to expand its renewable energy business in power generation and hydrogen projects.
		On PAI 7, the issuer has acknowledged the issue of pollution in biodiversity sensitive areas, such as in Nigeria. However, its plans to divest are on hold until the Supreme Court reaches a decision on a previous oil spill controversy. Furthermore, following written correspondence with the issuer, invesco has confirmed that the issuer's net-positive policy only applies to new projects only, not those existing projects adversely impacting biodiversity. For these reasons, Invesco has determined that the issuer still flags on PAI 7.
An EMEA Energy Issuer	PAI 7: Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas	Research conducted. The issuer initially flagged on PAI 7 (Activities negatively affecting biodiversity sensitive areas). Invesco conducted research or the issuer and has determined that the entity has committed to address the issuer and is developing a strategy.
		The issuer has outlined its commitment to protect biodiversity through a four pillar plan: 1) respecting voluntary exclusion zones; 2) managing biodiversity in new projects; 3) managing biodiversity in existing sites, and 4) promoting biodiversity.



Reference benchmarks are indexes to measure

whether the financial product attains the

characteristics that they

promote.

How did this financial product perform compared to the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

How does the reference benchmark differ from a broad market index?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform with regard to the sustainability indicators to determine the alignment of the reference benchmark with the environmental or social characteristics promoted?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the reference benchmark?

Not applicable.

How did this financial product perform compared with the broad market index?

Not applicable.